

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Partent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BONNES Alefandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usoto.com

				\cup		
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/943,670	08/31/2001	Brad Pivar	7207-211	1117	
	26263 75	90 04/14/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER			ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CHICAGO, IL	60606-1080		2165		

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/943,670	PIVAR ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	, Art Unit						
	Neveen Abel-Jalil	2165						
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ac	ldress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY TO THE MAILING IDENTIFY THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS COMN. .136(a). In no event, however, d will apply and will expire SIX (te, cause the application to bec	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 l	March 2006.							
,	is action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for forma	matters, prosecution as to the	e merits is					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-7, 9 and 11-25</u> is/are pending in th	ne application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 25 is/are withdrawn								
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-7,9 and 17</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-24</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>18-24</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)	∧ □ 1-1-	nations Summan: (PTO 442)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Par	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·, —	ice of Informal Patent Application (PT er:	O-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/943,670 Page 2

Art Unit: 2165

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

- 1. In response to Amendment filed on March-16-2006, claims 1, 8, and 10 have been cancelled. Claims 18-25 have been newly added. Therefore, claims 2-7, 9, and 11-25 are presently pending in the application.
- 2. In view of the Election/Restrictions set forth in this Office Action, claim 25 is withdrawn from consideration (see below).

Election/Restrictions

3. Newly submitted claim 25 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Inventions "occurrence of an event and performing digital signature routine/creating time stamp" (claim 25) and "creating first time stamp/creating first digital signature"/ "creating second time stamp/creating second digital signature" (claims 2-7, 9, and 11-24) are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because performing single digital signature routine on a completed file and creating single timestamp. The subcombination has separate utility such as creating first and second digital signature/first and second timestamp.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 14-27 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 18 preamble recite "A computer program for use" is intended use and does not cause any functionality to occur in the computer since it lacks combination with hardware to realize the functionality. The limitations following the phrase "for" describes only intended use but not necessarily required functionality of the claim. Limitations following the phrase "for" do not carry patentable weight, which cause the claims to appear as a series of non-functional descriptive material/data without any functional relation with each other. Applicant is required to amend the claims so that the claim limitations are recited in a definite form.

If the body of a claim fully and intrinsically sets forth all of the limitations of the claimed invention, and the preamble merely states, for example, the purpose or intended use of the invention, rather than any distinct definition of any of the claimed invention's limitations, then the preamble is not considered a limitation and is of no significance to claim construction. Pitney Bowes, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co., 182 F.3d 1298, 1305, 51 USPQ2d 1161, 1165 (Fed. Cir.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,670

Page 4

Art Unit: 2165

1999). See also Rowe v. Dror, 112 F.3d 473, 478, 42 USPQ2d 1550, 1553 (Fed. Cir. 1997) ("where a patentee defines a structurally complete invention in the claim body and uses the preamble only to state a purpose or intended use for the invention, the preamble is not a claim limitation").

Claim 18, further recites "computer program code... for use when interactively" performing a functionality without ever mentioning the "code or program" as being "executed" or "processed" to realize the intended functionality, there are "code" execution taking place. "Code" has to be executed in the device in order to perform the functionality. Moreover, the mere recitation of the remaining claim elements "code for" is intended use and does not cause any functionality to occur in the device.

The claim introductory language should be amended to state "computer readable program code executable on a computer to interactively". The elements of the body of the claim should be amended to replace "computer readable program code for" with "that" or "is" or just simply stating the steps of "providing"...."receiving"...etc. w/o the user of "computer readable program code for".

Similarly, claims 19-24 have the same language therefore carry the same deficiency.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Although no rejections in view of prior art are made regarding claims 18-24, none of these claims in this application will be indicated as allowable until after a response to this action has been reviewed, as to the fact that certain changes many not produce allowable claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,670

Art Unit: 2165

Allowance

7. Claims 2-7, 9, and 17 are allowed.

Response to Arguments



8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7, 9, and 11-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,670 Page 6

Art Unit: 2165

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neveen Abel-Jalil whose telephone number is 571-272-4074. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Neveen Abel-Jalil April 11, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100